IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Docket No.: 55793-CPA (48340)

APPLICANT: Linda A. Sherman, et al.

EXAMINER: Wilson, M.

SERIAL NO.: 08/812,393

GROUP: 1632

FILED:

March 5, 1997

FOR:

RECOMBINANT CONSTRUCTS ENCODING T CELL RECEPTORS

SPECIFIC FOR HUMAN HLA-RESTRICTED TUMOR ANTIGENS

MAIL STOP: AMENDMENT

Commissioner of Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 in an envelope addressed to MAIL STOP: AMENDMENT, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 and designated by the "Express Mail" mailing label No. EV 437 819 559 US on September 24, 2004

Sir:

NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM THE PRIMARY EXAMINER TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES (37 C.F.R. SECTION 1.191)

NOTE: An appeal may be based on one rejection in a prior application and one rejection in a continuing application. 62 Fed. Reg. 53,131, at 53,167; 1203 O.G. 63, at 93 (Oct. 10, 1997).

NOTE: There is no requirement for a notice of appeal to: (1) be signed; or (2) identify the appealed claims. 62 Fed. Reg. 53,131, at 53,167; 1203 O.G. 63, at 94 (Oct, 10, 1997).

Applicant hereby appeals to the Board from the decision of the Primary Examiner, mailed May 26, 2004, finally rejecting claims 1-5 and 22.

The item(s) checked below are appropriate:

1. STATUS OF APPLICANT

This application is on behalf of

[X] other than a small entity.

[] a small entity.

A statement:

09/28/2004 EAREGAY1 00000053 08812393

01 FC:1401

330.00 OP

U.S.S.N.: 08/812,393

Inventors: Linda A. Sherman, et al.

Filed: March 5, 1997

Page 2 of 3

	[] is attached. [] was already file	d on			
2. FEE FOR FILING NOTICE OF APPEAL					
Purs	suant to 37 C.F.R. Section	on 1.17(b), the fee for filing	ng the Appeal Brief is:		
	[] small entity		\$165.00		
	[X] other than a small e	ntity	\$330.00		
Notice of Appeal fee due \$330.00					
3. EX	TENSION OF TERM				
NOTE: The time periods set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.191 are subject to the provision of Section 1.136 for patent applications. 37 C.F.R. 1.191(d). (But see 37 C.F.R. 1.645 for extension of time in interference proceedings and 37 C.F.R. 1.550(c) for extension of time in reexamination proceedings).					
(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)					
The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. Section 1.136 apply.					
(a) [] Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. Section 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. Section 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for the total number of months checked below:					
	Extension (months)	Fee for other than small entity	Fee for small entity		
(]]] []	two months three months	\$ 110.00 \$ 410.00 \$ 930.00 \$1,450.00	\$ 55.00 \$205.00 \$465.00 \$725.00		
Fee \$ <u>110.00</u>					
If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.					
(check and complete the next item, if applicable)					
(a)) [] An extension for months has already been secured, and the fee paid therefor of \$ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested. Extension fee due with this request \$ or				
(b)	(b) [] Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of time.				

U.S.S.N.: 08/812,393 Inventors: Linda A. Sherman,et al. Filed: March 5, 1997

Page 3 of 3

4. TOTAL FEE DUE

The total fee due is:

	Notice of Appeal fee \$ 330.00 Extension fee (if any) \$ 110.00	TOTAL FEE DUE \$ <u>440.00</u>	
5.	FEE PAYMENT		
	[X] Attached is a check in the sum of \$330.0 [] Charge Account No the sum of A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.		
6.	FEE DEFICIENCY		
NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included processing delays are encountered in resuming the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, 1065 O.G 31-33.			
[X] If any additional extension and/or fee is required, this is a request therefor and to charge Account No. <u>04-1105</u> . AND/OR			
[] If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No			
		Respectfully submitted,	
<u>Da</u>	te: September 24, 2004	Kathryn A. Piffat, Ph.D. (Reg. No. 34,901) EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP P. O. Box 55874 Boston, MA 02205 Tel. (617) 439-4444 Fax (617) 439-4170	